| Attorney's Docket No.: | 219.40244X00 (ATSK) | PATENT |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Intel No. <u>P12174</u> | | |

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (FOR INTEL CORPORATION PATENT APPLICATIONS)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

| | ed below) of the subj | only one name is listed below) or an ori ect matter which is claimed and for whi | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| the specification of which X is attached her was filed on _ Unite or PC | | Numberlication Number | | |
| claim(s), as amended by any ame was ever known or used in the U printed publication in any countr same was not in public use or on that the invention has not been p application in any country fore | ndment referred to all finited States of Amery before my invention sale in the United Statented or made the edge to the United Statented Sta | the contents of the above-identified specification before my invention thereof, or patern thereof or more than one year prior to tates of America more than one year prior subject of an inventor's certificate issues that so of America on an application of for a utility patent application) or six more | hat the clented or this apport to this ed before tiled by | aimed invention described in any lication, that the application, and the date of this me or my legal |
| I acknowledge the duty to disclo Code of Federal Regulations, Se | | nown to me to be material to patentabili | ty as def | fined in Title 37, |
| application(s) for patent or inven | tor's certificate listed | te 35, United States Code, Section 11 below and have also identified below and fore that of the application on which principle. | ny foreig | n application for laimed: |
| (Number) | (Country) | (Day/Month/Year Filed) | Yes | No |
| (Number) | (Country) | (Day/Month/Year Filed) | Yes | No |
| (Number) | (Country) | (Day/Month/Year Filed) | Yes | No |
| NAME CONDONATION | | | | |

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 08/05/98 (D3 INTEL) I hereby claim the benefit under title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below

(Application Number)

Filing Date

(Application Number)

Filing Date

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Number)

Filing Date

(Status -- patented,

pending, abandoned)

(Application Number)

ųj

h.A

Filing Date

(Status -- patented,

pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint: Donald R. Antonelli, Reg. No. 20,296; David T. Terry, Reg. No. 20,178; Melvin Kraus, Reg. No. 22,466; William I. Solomon, Reg. No. 28,565; Gregory E. Montone, Reg. No. 28,141; Ronald J. Shore, Reg. No. 28,577; Donald E. Stout, Reg. No. 26,422; Alan E. Schiavelli, Reg. No. 32,087; James N. Dresser, Reg. No. 22,973; Carl I. Brundidge, Reg. No. 29,621; Paul J. Skwierawski, Reg. No. 32,173; Robert M. Bauer, 34,487, my attorneys; of ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP with offices located at 1300 North Seventeenth Street, Suite 1800, Arlington, Virginia 22209, telephone: (703) 312-6600, fax: (703) 312-6666; and Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; Ben Burge, Reg. No. 42,372; Richard C. Calderwood, Reg. No. 35,468; Jeffrey S. Draeger, Reg. No. 41,000; Cynthia Thomas Faatz, Reg No. 39,973; John Greaves, Reg No. 40,362; John Kacvinsky, Reg. No. 40,040; Seth Z. Kalson, Reg. No. 40,670; David J. Kaplan, Reg. No. 41,105; Peter Lam, Reg. No. 44,855; Charles A. Mirho, Reg. No. 41,199; Paul Nagy, Reg. No. 37,896; Leo V. Novakoski, Reg. No. 37,198; Thomas C. Reynolds, Reg. No. 32,488; Kenneth M. Seddon, Reg. No. 43,105; Mark Seeley, Reg. No. 32,299; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Howard A. Skaist, Reg. No. 36,008; Steven C. Stewart, Reg. No. 33,555; Gene I. Su, Reg. No. 45,140; Raymond J. Werner, Reg. No. 34,752; Robert G. Winkle, Reg. No. 37,474; Sharon Wong, Reg. No. 37,760; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Calvin E. Wells; Reg. No. 43,256 and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435; my patent attorneys, and my patent agents, of INTEL CORPORATION; with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send all correspondence to:

Customer Number 020457 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 North Seventeenth Street **Suite 1800** Arlington, VA 22209

Direct all telephone calls and faxes to:

TEL: (703) 312-6600 FAX: (703) 312-6666

INTEL CORPORATION

Rev. 08/05/98 (D3 INTEL)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

| Full Name of Sole/First Inventor James | E BREISCH |
|--|---------------------------|
| Inventor's Signature Jumes 2 | Breven Date 9/26/2001 |
| Residence Same as Mailing Address (City, State) | Citizenship USA (Country) |
| . , | ct. Chandler, AZ 85224 |
| Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor Mark Inventor's Signature | B. Date 9/26/01 |
| Inventor's Signature | Date /2-701 |
| Residence Same as Mailing Address (City, State) | Citizenship USA (Country) |
| Mailing Address 1161 N. Dustin Lane | Chandlet AZ 85226 |
| Full Name of Third/Joint Inventor | |
| Recidence | Citizenship |
| Residence (City, State) | (Country) |
| , | |
| Full Name of Fourth/Joint Inventor | |
| Inventor's Signature | Date |
| Residence | Citizenship |
| (City, State) | (Country) |
| iviaiting Address | |
| | |

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56

INTEL CORPORATION Rev. 08/05/98 (D3 INTEL)



Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclosure to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by 991.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
- (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
- (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

ff. M.

Ü

ha ha

U

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.